IN RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING * BEFORE THE AND VARIANCE - W/S York Road, 495' SW of Church Lane * DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER (9920-9924 York Road) * OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 8th Election District 3rd Councilmanic District * Case No. 94-258-SPHA

> Petitioner

Gus J. Stratakis

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Coming Commissioner as Petitions for Special Hearing and Variance filed by the owner of the subject property, Gus J. Stratakis, through his attorney, Edward Covahey, Esquire. The Petitioner seeks a special hearing to approve an amendment to the previously approved site plan in Case No. 65-51-XA and variances from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) as follows: From Sections 238.2 and 102.2 to permit a side yard setback of 0 feet and a rear yard setback of 14 feet in lieu of the required 30 feet for each for a proposed retail building, and a distance between the existing and proposed buildings of 25 toet in lieu of the required 60 feet; from Section 409.6.A.2 to permit 48 parking spaces in lieu of the required 61; and from Section 413.2(E) to permit a second shopping center identification of 300 sq.ft. total (150 sq.tt. per side), as more particularly described on Petitioner's Exhibit 2.

Appearing on behalf of the Petitions were Gus Stratakis, legal owner, and his attorney, Edward Covahey, Esquire. Also appearing on behalt of the Petitioner was William F. Monk, Land Planning and Zoning Consultant. There were no Protestants.

Test mony indicated that the subject property, known as 9920-9924 York Road, consists of 1.01 acres, more or less, zoned B.R. and is improved located immediately adjacent to York Road. The Petitioner is desirous of razing this older building and replacing same with a more modern two-story retail building. Testimony revealed that this older building was once an old dwelling that was converted for retail use and has since become outdated. Testimony indicated that since this old structure is located immediately adjacent to York Road, the visibility of motorists traveling in the area is impeded and attempts to locate businesses in the vicinity of this site are compromised. By removing the old structure, the Petitioner will improve both the aesthetics of the property and traffic safety in the area in that the line of vision for motorists will be greatly improved. In addition to the improvements noted above, a landscape strip along York Road is proposed to further enhance the site. The Petitioner also intends to climinate two of the driveway accesses to York Road and create one main entrance to the property which will also benefit motorists traveling in this area of York Road in that there will be only one access point to the property in lieu of the three existing. Further testimony indicated that

with a combined retail/service garage building and an older retail building

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioner and his property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:

the requested parking variance is necessary as a result of the larger

building proposed for the site. In addition, the sign variance is needed

to adequately identify the tenants in the new building due to the high

volume of traffic and businesses along this stretch of York Road.

LANS OF FILING

whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;

- 2-

2) whether the grant would do substantial injustice to applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give substantial relief; and

3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28

It is clear from the testimony that if the variance is granted, such use, as proposed, will not be contrary to the spirit of the B.C.Z.R. and will not result in any injury to the public good.

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship will result if the special hearing and variances are not granted. It has been established that special circumstances or conditions exist that are peculiar to the land or structure which is the subject of these requests and that the requirements from which the Petitioner seeks relief will unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the relief requested will not cause any injury to the public health, safety or general welfare and is in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the variance requested should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 23^{rd} day of February, 1994 that the Petition for Special Hearing to approve an amendment to the previously approved site

plan in Case No. 65-51-XA, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 2, be and is hereby GRANTED; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Variance from the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) as follows: From Sections 238.2 and 102.2 to permit a side yard setback of 0 feet and a rear yard setback of 14 feet in lieu of the required 30 feet for each for a proposed retail building, and a distance between the existing and proposed buildings of 25 feet in lieu of the required 60 feet; from Section 409.6.A.2 to permit 48 parking spaces in lieu of the required 61; and from Section 413.2(E) to permit a second shopping center identification of 300 sq.ft. total (150 sq.ft. per side), in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 2, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following restrictions:

> 1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the relief granted herein shall be rescinded.

> > - 4-

Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

February 23, 1994

Edward Covahey, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING AND VARIANCE W/S York Road, 495' SW of Church Lane (9920-9924 York Road) 8th Election District - 3rd Councilmanic District Gus J. Stratakis - Petitioner Case No. 94-258-SPHA

Dear Mr. Covahey:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petitions for Special Hearing and Variance have been granted in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact the Zoning Administration and Development Management office at 887-3391.

> TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

cc: People's Counsel

Petition for Variance to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County for the property located at 9920-24 York Road

which is presently zoned BR This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management. The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plut attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s) 238.2 & 102.2 to permit a side yard setback of 0' in lieu of the required 30' and a setback of 25' between buildings in lieu of the required 60' and a variance from Section 409.6 A 2 to permit 48 spaces in lieu of the required 61 spaces. Section 238.2 & 102.2 to permit a rear setback of 14' in lieu of the required 30. Section 413.2 (e) to permit a second shopping center Id. sign (#9922-24 York Re.

containing 300 square foot (150 sq. ft. per side). To amend the previously

approved site plan per special exception and variance plan (Case #65-51XA).

FOR HARDSHIP AND PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY SEE ATTACHED

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations. t, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this polition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

| | We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition. |
|--|--|
| Contract Purchaser/Lessee: | Legal Owner(s): |
| | GUS J. STRATAKIS |
| (Type or Print Name) | (Type or Print Name) |
| | If I state |
| Bignature | Biggallure |
| Address | (Type or Print Name) |
| City State Zipcode | Bigneture |
| Attorney for Petitioner: Edward Covahey | 718 York Road 337-080 |
| (Type or Print Name) | Address Phone No. |
| | Towson, MD 21204 |
| Signature | Name, Address and phone number of legal owner, contract purchaser or reprito be contacted. |
| 614 Bosley Avenue 828-9441 | William Monk, Inc. |
| Address Phuhe No. | Name |
| Towson, MD 21204 (City Blate Zlocode | 222 Bosley Ave, Towson, MD 494-893 |
| | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| and the second s | estimated Length of Hearing |
| | unavallable for Hearing |
| , € | ALL OTHER |
| • | MEVIEWED BY: DATE 12-23-9 |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | 1258 |

REASONS ESTABLISHING HARDSHIP AND/OR PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES: 94-258-5PHA

1. Topographical considerations and side yard set-back considerations would preclude development of property with functional improvements were the variances not be granted.

2. Development of the property without the requested set-back would require utilization of two existing curb cuts providing access to York Road. Development of the property within the context of plan will allow same to utilize one means of access to

3. Granting of the variances will allow the property to be developed with the improvements located to the rear portion of the lot preserving front yard set-backs and site distances for ingress and egress to York Road.

4. Granting of the variances will allow the property to meet all provisions for front yard set-backs.

5. Development of the property with the requested variances will facilitate ease of traffic movements on site and preclude congestion on York Road.

6. Providing parking in excess of that requested would deprive the site of grass cover and vegetation essential for storm water management and erosion control and would enhance and protect the environment.

COLBERT ENGINEERING, INC.

3723 OLD COURT ROAD . SUITE BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 212 PHONE: (410) 653-36

FAX: (410) 653-79

94.258-5PHA ZONING DESCRIPTION 9920-24 YORK ROAD 8TH ELECTION DISTRICT BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

BEGINNING FOR THE SAME AT A POINT ON THE WEST SIDE OF YORK ROAD, 80 FEET WIDE, 495 FEET MORE OR LESS SOUTH FROM THE SOUTH SIDE OF CHURCH LANE, THENCE BINDING ALONG SAID WEST SIDE OF YORK ROAD (1) NORTH 10 DEGREES 50 MINUTES WEST 194.13 FEET, THENCE LEAVING SAID YORK ROAD FOR FOLLOWING FIVE (5) COURSES: (2) SOUTH 79 DEGREES 10 MINUTES WEST 153.5 FEET, MORE OR LESS, (3) SOUTH 05 DEGREES 11 MINUTES WEST 48.2 FEET, MORE OR LESS, (4) NORTH 84 DEGREES 49 MINUTES WEST 69.2 FEET, MORE OR LESS, (5) SOUTH 10 DEGREES 50 MINUTES EAST 100.0 FEET, MORE OR LESS, AND (6) SOUTH 84 DEGREES 49 MINUTES EAST 243 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE PLACE OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 0.80 OF AN ACRE OF LAND, MORE OR LESS.

9920YORK.DES



CIVIL ENGINEERS . LAND SURVEYORS

CERTIFICATE OF POSTIN 20MMS DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORS COUNTY Towner, Maryland

| | A State of the man | | ``` | |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Eur J. S. | | | |
| ocation of pr | | 21 11 1 1 1 1 N. V. | - 1195 Sufchus | EA JUNA |
| | | | | |
| acation of S | | عود دار باد ایماد ایمان داد. | See Per La Brisage | te us s |
| | | · | | |
| iomerks: | | | | |
| | Withting | | Date of return: | |

| The Juring Constitution of Bettings County, by authority of Statement of Bettings of the Justine of Bettings of the Justine of Bettings County will held a guild bearing on the paperty identified herein in Flore 108 of the County Citics Belding, Becaled at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Toursen, Maryland 21204 or Place 118, City County Citics (All Westington Avenue, 1800m, 118, City County Citics (All Westington Avenue, 1800m, 118, City County Citics (All Westington Avenue, 1800m, 118, City County Citics (All Westington, 1800m, 1800 | |
|--|--|
| Speakel Hearing: to amend the previously approved site plan in case #65-51-XA. Variance: to parmit a side yard select of zero lest in lieu of the required 30 feet and a selback of 25 feet between buildings in lieu of the required 60 feet; to permit 48 spaces to parmit a rear selback of 14 feet in lieu of the required 30 feet; and to permit a second shapping center ID sign containing 300-eq. R (150-sq. R per side) in fieu of the permitted one sign of 150 square feet. | |
| LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner for Beltimore County MOTES: (1) Hearings are Handicapped accessible; for special acces | And the state of t |

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of ____ successive weeks, the first publication appearing on $\frac{13}{199}$, $\frac{197}{199}$

> THE JEFFERSONIAN, LEGAL AD. - TOWSON

> > (410) 887-3353

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management



111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

January 27, 1994

Edward Covahey, Esquire 614 Bosley Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

> RE: Case No. 94-258-SPHA, Item No. 258 Petitioner: Gus J. Stratakis Petitions for Special Exception and Variance

Dear Mr. Covahey:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The attached comments from each reviewing agency are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties, i.e., zoning commissioner, attorney and/or the petitioner, are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case.

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition. If additional comments are received from other members of ZAC, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on December 23, 1993, and a hearing was scheduled accordingly.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future zoning petitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process

1. The director of Zoning Administration and Development Management has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorneys who feel that they are capable of filing petitions that comply with all aspects of the zoning regulations and petitions filing requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a preliminary review by zoning personnel.

Coltinare Consts

Zoning Administration &

Development Management

111 West Chesapeuke Avenue jqieee1 000 12 25-93 94-258-5PHA 01 258 2042 - Special Hearing - 250. 1. 11 - 1 Sugh & 205 Trag - 25. - +, Kis E4 York Rd.

DALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTERSFFICE COLRESTONDENCE

FROM Probert W Bowling, P.E., Chief Developers Engineering Section

for January 10, 1994

Item No. 258

Landscape Manual Standards.

RWBin

FE Zening Advisory Committee Meeting

TO: Arnold Jublem, Director DATE: January 10, 1994

Zoning Alministration and Development Management

The Developers Engineering Section has reviewed

Highway Administration Standards for readway and entrance

the subject soning item. This site is subject to State

requirements. In addition, this site is subject to the

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

Hal Kassoff Administrator

O James Lighthizer

Secretary

January 7, 1994

Ms. Charlotte Minton Zoning Administration and Development Management County Office Building Room 109 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Re: Baltimore County MD 45 Gus J. Statakis Proposed Retail Center Item +258 (JJS) Special Hearing and Variance Request Milepost 6.71

Dear Ms. Minton:

Item Number:

Date Filed:

12-23-93

Need an attorney

PET-FLAG (TXTSOPH)

11/17/93

The following information is missing:

Election district

Councilmanic district

owner/contract purchaser

Acreage

Actual address of property

PETITION PROCESSING FLAG

This petition has been accepted for filing, after an initial review, and has been placed on the agenda for the zoning advisory committee. However, the following

items were found to be missing or incomplete when the petition was included on the agenda by Sophia. A copy of this "flag" will be placed in the case file for the

Zoning Commissioner's review. The planner that accepted the petition for filing has

the option of notifying the petitioner and/or attorney prior to the hearing or

decision and responsibility to make a proper application, address any zoning conflicts, and to file revised petition materials if necessary. Delays and

unnecessary additional expenses may be avoided by correcting the petition to the

Plats (need 12, only ____submitted)

200 scale zoning map with property outlined

BCZR section information and/or wording Hardship/practical difficulty information

legal owner and/or contract purchaser

and/or commission has expired

Descriptions, including accurate beginning point

Owner's signature (need minimum 1 original signature) and/or

printed name and/or address and/or telephone number

Signature (need minimum 1 original signature) and/or printed name and/or title of person signing for legal

signature) and/or printed name and/or address

Contract purchaser's signature (need minimum 1 original

Power of attorney or authorization for person signing for

Attorney's signature (need minimum 1 original signature)

Notary Public's section is incomplete and/or incorrect

and/or printed name and/or address and/or telephone number

PLAT LISTS LEGAL OWNER AS JAMES G.+

GEORGIA STRATAKIS NOT GUS J. STRATAKIS

Zoning Commissioner's review of the petition regarding the items noted below. If the petitioner/attorney is contacted by the planner, it is the petitioner's ultimate

This office has reviewed the plan for the referenced item and offer the following:

We have no objection to approval of the special hearing to amend the previously approved site plan in Case #65-51-XA or the variance request to permit a side yard setback of zero feet in lieu of the required 30 feet and a setback of 25' between buildings in lieu of the required 60'; to permit 40 parking spaces in lieu of the required 61 spaces; to permit a rear setback of 14' in lieu of the required 30' and to permit a second shopping center identity sign at 9922-24 York Road containing 300 sq. ft. (150 sq. ft per side) in lieu of the permitted one sign of 150 sq. ft.

Also, since MD 45 is not identified in the SHA current or long range planning documents for future roadway improvements in this area, our review of the proposed entrance improvements to the site are generally acceptable to the SHA.

Entrance construction shall be subject to the terms and conditions of an access permit issued by this office, with the following submittals required:

- a. Eight (8) copies of the site plan showing the SHA
- requirements. b. Completed application.

My telephone number is 410-333-1350 (Fax# 333-1041) Maryland Relay Service for Impaired Hearing or Speech 1-800-735-2258 Statewide Toll Free

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 717 • Baltimore, MD 21203-0717 Street Address: 707 North Calvert Street • Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Baltimore County Government Office of Zoning Administration and Development Management



(410) 887-3353

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chemapeaks Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Haryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 94-258-SPHA (Item 258) 9920-24 York Road W/S York Road, 4951+/- SW of Church Lane 8th Election District - 3rd Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Gus J. Statakis HEARING: FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1994 at 9:00 a.m., Rm. 106, Office Building.

Special Hearing to amend the previously approved site plan in case #65-51-XA. Variance to permit a side yard setback of zero feet in lieu of the required 30 feet and a setback of 25 feet between buildings in lieu of the required 60 feet; to permit 48 spaces in lieu of the required 61 spaces; to permit a rear setback of 14 feet in lieu of the required 30 feet; and to permit a second shopping center ID sign containing 300 sq. ft. (150 sq. ft. per side) in lieu of the permitted one sign of 150 square feet.

111 West Chesapeake Avenue

JANUARY 10, 1994

Towson, MD 21204

cc: Gus J. Stratakis Edward Covahey, Esq. William Monk, Inc.

NOTES: (1) ZOWING SIGN & POST MUST BE RETURNED TO RM. 104, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE ON THE HEARING DATE. (2) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMPODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353. (3) FOR INFORMATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT 887-3391.

Printed with Seyboon int

Ms. Charlotte Minton January 7, 1994

- c. Performance bond, letter of credit, or certified check (include Federal ID number or social security number on certified checks only) in the amount of 150% of the actual entrance construction cost (to include the cost of relocating any affected utilities) and in an even thousand dollar increment. These must be made payable to the State of Maryland. (Please note that it takes 6-8 weeks for a certified check to be returned after
- project completion and SHA final inspection) An engineering fee check in the amount of \$50.00 for each point of access, made payable to the State of Maryland.
- e. A letter of authorization from the appropriate agency relative to the relocation of any utilities which may be necessitated by this construction. Or, a letter from the developer acknowledging and agreeing to the financial responsibility for relocating any affected utilities, provided the cost for the utility relocation is included in the surety submitted for the permit.

The surety for entrance construction must be received by this office prior to our approving any building permits for this

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Bob Small of this office at (410) 333-1350. Thank you for the opportunity to review this plan.

> Very truly yours, Waind A Ramon

David Ramsey, Asting Chief Engineering Access Permits

BS/maw

cc: Mr. William Monk

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

January 7, 1994

10.14.04

9918-74 York Road

PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO U

Mr. Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and Development Management

J. Lawrence Pilson Development Coordinator, DEPRM

SUBJECT: Zoning Item #258 - Stratakis Property 9920 York Road

Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting of January 3, 1994

The Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management offers the following comments on the above-referenced zoning item.

Applicability of Baltimore County Forest Conservation Regulations will be determined at time of building permit submittal and review of Bill 163-93 by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources.

JLP:sp

STRATAKI/DEPRM/TXTSBP

WILLIAM MONK, INC.

PLANNING . LANDSCAPE DESIGN

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

COURTHOUSE COMMONS, SUITE B-7

222 BOSLEY AVENUE TOWSON, MD 21204

Copy of letter

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below

P For review and commen

REMARKS:

DRAWING NO. FILE NO.

Cherapiake live

Harri Dolwerd

Meck #3102-\$40

rover to

DESCRIPTION

com dan accompani

SIGNED: Serah Carpeny
If anclosures are not as noted, kindly notify us at once.

Fire Department 700 East Joppa Road, Suite 901

Towson, MD 21286 5500

(410) 887 4500

viousing Agenda: SUECIAL HEARTMA

. An and the Contribution Consus administration and · Peratopoent Management - Maitimore County Office Sunddom tousion, MD 21209 PMIL SION-1105 old: Fromer to Humer of 1985 to 1984 Ak to LOCATION: N/S YOR ROD, TORE FOR SHE FRIGHTS.

८५९७००३४ ४०ास हो।, १

Bout Lomen:

Item No.: 258 (JJS)

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been so veyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be convected or ancomporated into the final plans for the property.

5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1991 edition prior to occupancy.

REVIEWER: LI. ROBERT P. SAUERWALD Fire Prevention, PHONE 887-4881, MS-11086

cc: File

Printed on Recycled Paper

COURTHOUSE COMMONS 222 BOSLEY AVENUE TOWSON, MD 21204 FAX 410-494-9903

SUITE B-7

410-494-8931

October 14, 1994

Director of Zoning Administratio 111 W. Chemponice Avenue

WILLIAM MONK, INC.

LAND USE PLANNING • LANDSCAPE DESIGN

Use Plan to consolidate 9918-24 York Road, Baltimore County, Maryland

consolidate all of my client's properties in the 9900 block of York Road. This discussion was precipitated by my client's desire to build an addition tying in 9918 York Road (existing service garage) with his 9920-22 York Road property (existing service garage and retail operation). The addition will provide additional service bays at the lower level (which accesses from the rear) with a small retail space (< 1,000 sq. ft.) at the York Road street level. At the same time, for simplicity take, my client will be eliminating the lot line between these two buildings to consolidate all of his holdings. The elimination of the lot line between 9920 and 9924 was part of a recent zoning case approved this past year (Case # 94-258 SPHA). In that instance the Zoning Commissioner approved all of the applicant's variance requests as so noted in his order dated Pebruary 23, 1994. There was no opposition at the time of the hearing and a limited exemption was also granted from the CIM process prior to the hearing. These land use activities are buffered on all sides by existing commercial activity and commercially zoned properties including the Church Lane Shopping Center which is also owned

A copy of the conditions of the order are noted on the "nee plan" however I will summarize same for your convenience at this point: The side yard seducks will remain as is. The variance to the total number of parking spaces (48 in lieu of the required 61) will not be altered. The new uses to be located within the building addition can be accommodated without the need to increase the parking variance. The sequired parking tabulations are noted on the plan. And finally, the signage variance for the second shopping center identification sign will remain as is.

We believe that this proposed addition, with the climination of the lot line, should be viewed as a "singleness of use" request and that it is consistent with the spirit and intent of the previous approvals and compatible with the existing land

SPHA are provided.

The staff has reviewed the provided information and plan for zoning and the following has been determined: The proposed ness of use on the combined sites. The variances as granted remain in force and effect and the proposed building addition are all within the spirit and intent of the zoning order and plan in case #94-258-SPMA. Copies of this letter and approv plans are being included in the appropriate zoning case file Please reference this approval on all future plans.

258 APPLICANT: WILLIAM MONK, INC PLANNING • LANDSCAPE DESIGN ZONING MAP
TO ACCOMPANY
VARIANCE APPLICATION JAMES G. STRATAKIS, GEORGIA STRATAKIS 718 YORK ROAD BALTINOTE, ND 21294 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT 9929-24 YORK ROAD BALTMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND 11/93 99-62 11-000

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND OFFICE OF ZONING ADMINISTRATION

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

DATE: May 10, 1994

Mr. Stewart - EIRD Mr. Seeley - W&S Carol Brown - ZADM, Development Management (2) Mr. Richards - 2ADM, Development Control 1 Ms. Rorke - ZADM, Street Names & House Numbers Mr. Bowling - DED (3)

Capt. Paull - Fire Dept. - M.S. 1102 F Mr. Grossman - Rec & Parks Mr. Small - SHA

Mr. McDaniel - Strategic Plan., Development Review (3)

Donald T. Rascoe Development Manager Project Name: 9920 York Road Project No: VIII-634 94-088-Z

District:

Phone No.:

Engineer:

Mr. Wirth - SWM

+-----+ +REOUESTED BY:----+ 8 c 3 +HIGHWAY WIDENING ____+ H & M +FOREST BUFFER _____+ 828-9060 +GREENWAY +FLOOD PLAIN

Waived CRG Meeting +DRAIN/UTIL EASE CRG Non-material Amendment CRG Non-material Amendment + DRAIN/UTIL EASE +

X Limited Exemption (26-171.b.9) + PUBLIC WORKS AGREE + Waived Hearing Officer Hearing +FOREST CONSERVATION + +None of the Above____+

Please review the attached plan for compliance with current regulations and return comments to our office by May 31, 1994. If you have no comments or do not need to review this plan, please indicate by placing your . (This procedure is similar to the waived CRG meeting procedure.) NONRESPONSIVENESS BY THE AFOREMENTIONED DATE IS CONSIDERED TO BE CONCURRENCE BY YOUR OFFICE OF THE PLAN.

Thank you for your timely attention to our request.

DTR:SDW:BOB:bje c; File

SUBJECT:

ACTION REQUESTED:

initials here

9920YOR/ZADM/TXTBJE SUBDIV.DST(txtbje) Rev 3/10/94

+Please indicate here +

+if your Agency requests+

+The Office of Law/Real +

+Estate to acquire----+

Limited Exemption Review Plan Date: 2/25/94 Comments Due: 5/31/94 Comments Date: 5/12/94 Comments Completed: 5/16/94

Though this site has received zoning approval for a special hearing and a variance, this plan is not in complete agreement with the approved zoning plan in case #94-258-SPHA. The following comments must be resolved prior to zoning approval of the limited exemption plan:

- 1. Note that the proposed office use is general office (not medical office) or increase required parking and variance the additional deficiency.
- 2. Correct plan note #17.A.1 for the lower level parking count shown at 6.5 spaces where 11.4 are shown on the approved zoning hearing plan in case #94-258-SPHA.
- 3. Show and dimension all setbacks, key all variance locations, and include all plan notes, front building orientation and other information from the approved zoning plan on this limited exemption plan. The proposed landing was not shown on the approved hearing plan, is blocking a required turn-around on a deadend aisie, and is also constructed within a varianced setback with a deficient setback. This cannot be approved without an additional zoning hearing. State the reason for the differing metes and bounds on the lot lines on both plans. Provide a copy of the approved red-lined zoning hearing plan showing the lot and plan changes to the Director of ZADM with an explanatory cover letter and a check made payable to Baltimore County for \$40.00, requesting approval of the plan changes as being within the spirit and intent of the approved plan in zoning case #94-258-SPHA, and asking that no hearing is necessary to amend the plans. Document the response on all future plans.

Final zoning approval is contingent first, upon all plan comments being addressed on the limited exemption plan; and secondly, upon the final resolution of all comments, the outcome of any requested zoning hearings and finally, the inclusion of the blue commercial checklist information being included on the building permit site plans.

Any requests for further information from the Zoning Office must include a reference to the limited exemption file #94-088-Z and written correspondence or revised plans must be accompanied by a copy of these comments.

JLL:scj

CC: #94-258-SPHA















